

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2016-001940-001 DT

05/05/2016

COMMISSIONER PHEMONIA L. MILLER

CLERK OF THE COURT

Y. King

Deputy

STATE OF ARIZONA

VANESSA MARIE LOSICCO

v.

ALLEXUS LANAE BAKER (001)

CINDY CASTILLO

NOT GUILTY ARRAIGNMENT

3:01 p.m.

Courtroom CCB 1002

State's Attorney: Vanessa Losicco

Defendant's Attorney: Cindy Castillo

Defendant: Present

A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

Let the record reflect that the Defendant enters a plea of not guilty to all charges.

This case is assigned to Judge Viola.

Defendant having failed to provide proof of ten-print fingerprints in accordance with
A.R.S. § 41-1750,

IT IS ORDERED that Defendant report to the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office for ten-print fingerprinting in accordance with A.R.S. § 41-1750 following conclusion of today's

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2016-001940-001 DT

05/05/2016

proceedings but no later than 20 calendar days from today, and bring proof of same to the next court hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that all electronic media (audio tapes, CD's, etc.) or documents which require language translation shall be submitted to the Court Interpretation and Translation Department (CITS) on or before the IPTC hearing date.

IT IS ORDERED that the Defendant shall contact and meet with his/her attorney in person no later than three weeks from this date, for the purpose of preparing for the Initial Pretrial Conference.

NOTICE TO DEFENDANTS:

Failure to comply with the above orders may result in revocation of Defendant's release from custody and/or the imposition of other sanctions.

The Defendant may be tried in his/her absence if he/she fails to appear for trial.

The Defendant is advised that, if convicted, the Defendant will be required to appear for sentencing. If the Defendant chooses not to appear, and the Defendant's absence prevents the Defendant from being sentenced within ninety days from the conviction, the Defendant may lose the right to a direct appeal.

Projected Last Day: 10/02/2016

Discussion is held and the Court is informed the Defendant would like to enter into a plea agreement with the State.

IT IS ORDERED affirming prior custody orders.

The Court proceeds with the Change of Plea proceedings at this time.

3:05 p.m. Matter concludes.